THE STATE OF ARIZONA CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

REPORTER'S FINAL APPROVED TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC MEETING

Phoenix, Arizona
July 13, 2006
9:30 a.m.

Reported By:

Angela Furniss Miller, RPR Certified Reporter (AZ 50127)

	Page 2		Page 3
1	A PUBLIC MEETING, BEFORE THE CITIZENS CLEAN	1	PROCEEDING
2	ELECTIONS COMMISSION, convened at 9:30 a.m. on July 13, 2006, at the State of Arizona, Clean Elections	2	
4	Commission, 1616 W. Adams, Conference Room, Phoenix,	3	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Good morning, everyone.
5	Arizona, in the presence of the following Board members: Ms. Marcia Busching, Phoenix, Chairperson	4	This is the meeting of the Citizens Clean Elections
	Mr. Gary Scaramazzo, Page, Teleconference	5	Commission at 1616 West Adams, Suite 110, Phoenix,
7	Ms. Ermila Jolley, Yuma, Teleconference Mr. Carl Kunasek, Maricopa	6	Arizona. Today is Thursday, July 13th, 2006. It's 9:30
8	Ms. Royann J. Parker, Pima, Teleconference	7	a.m. I am Marcia Busching, Chairman of the Citizens
9	OTHERS PRESENT: Mr. Todd Lang, Executive Director	8	Clean Elections Commission.
10	Ms. Paula Ortiz, Executive Assistant	9	I want to announce that the Commission may vote
11	Ms. Colleen McGee, Fiscal Service Manager Mr. Michael Becker, Voter Education Manager	10	to go into executive session, which will not be open to
	Mr. Daniel Ruiz II, Campaign Finance Manager	11	the public for any item listed on the agenda in order to
12	Mr. Eric Peterson, Administrative Counsel Ms. Christina Murphy, Administrative Assistant	12	obtain legal advice. All matters on the agenda may be
13	III	13	discussed, considered and are subject to action by the
14	Ms. Diana Varela, Assistant Attorney General Mr. Eric Ehst, Clean Elections Institute	14	Commission.
	Ms. Laurell Lowe, Perkins Coie Brown & Bain	15	The first item on the agenda is the call to
15	Ms. Nancy Read, Secretary of State's Office Mr. David Maddox, Attorney for Len Munsil	16	order, which I've already done.
16	Mr. Janvan Amerongen, Citizen	17	The second item is the approval of the May
17	Mr. Brian Wendel, Off Madison Avenue Ms. Barbara Klein, League of Women Voters, AZ	18	25th, 2006 Commission meeting minutes. And I note for
	Ms. Sahana Jayaraman, Citizen	19	the record that Commissioner Kunasek and I are present
18	Mr. John Greene, Citizen Mr. Mike Harris. Citizen	20	in person and the other Commissioners are all present by
19	Mr. Don Dybus, Cummings & McCloney	21	telephone. So we have everyone in attendance.
20	Mr. Tom Husband, Citizen	22	As to the minutes, are are there any
21		23	additions or corrections? If not, the Chair will
22		24	entertain a motion.
24 25		25	COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: I will move for the
23			
	Page 4		Page 5
1	Page 4 approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes.	1	Page 5 MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip
1 2		1 2	
	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes.		MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip
2	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second.	2	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that?
2	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by	2 3	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did
2 3 4	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner	2 3 4	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you.
2 3 4 5	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that?	2 3 4 5	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III,
2 3 4 5 6	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang?
2 3 4 5 6 7	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes that the minutes	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes that the minutes of May 25th, 2006 be approved as prepared. All in favor	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair and Commissioners. Good morning. You have before you my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes that the minutes of May 25th, 2006 be approved as prepared. All in favor say, "aye."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair and Commissioners. Good morning. You have before you my Executive Director report. I'm not going to reread it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes that the minutes of May 25th, 2006 be approved as prepared. All in favor say, "aye." (Chorus of ayes.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair and Commissioners. Good morning. You have before you my Executive Director report. I'm not going to reread it. I'll just point out the highlights. I enjoyed a trip to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes that the minutes of May 25th, 2006 be approved as prepared. All in favor say, "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair and Commissioners. Good morning. You have before you my Executive Director report. I'm not going to reread it. I'll just point out the highlights. I enjoyed a trip to Santa Fe two weeks ago to testify in regard to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes that the minutes of May 25th, 2006 be approved as prepared. All in favor say, "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair and Commissioners. Good morning. You have before you my Executive Director report. I'm not going to reread it. I'll just point out the highlights. I enjoyed a trip to Santa Fe two weeks ago to testify in regard to the Arizona experience for Clean Elections for a study
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes that the minutes of May 25th, 2006 be approved as prepared. All in favor say, "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Next item on the agenda is Item IV,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair and Commissioners. Good morning. You have before you my Executive Director report. I'm not going to reread it. I'll just point out the highlights. I enjoyed a trip to Santa Fe two weeks ago to testify in regard to the Arizona experience for Clean Elections for a study committee, a Governor's Study Committee there. And it's quite interesting and lots of challenging questions and good ideas. So that was worthwhile.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes that the minutes of May 25th, 2006 be approved as prepared. All in favor say, "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Next item on the agenda is Item IV, consideration and decision whether there is reason to believe a violation occurred in MUR 06-0002, complaint against Len Munsil. And because we have such an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair and Commissioners. Good morning. You have before you my Executive Director report. I'm not going to reread it. I'll just point out the highlights. I enjoyed a trip to Santa Fe two weeks ago to testify in regard to the Arizona experience for Clean Elections for a study committee, a Governor's Study Committee there. And it's quite interesting and lots of challenging questions and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes that the minutes of May 25th, 2006 be approved as prepared. All in favor say, "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Next item on the agenda is Item IV, consideration and decision whether there is reason to believe a violation occurred in MUR 06-0002, complaint	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair and Commissioners. Good morning. You have before you my Executive Director report. I'm not going to reread it. I'll just point out the highlights. I enjoyed a trip to Santa Fe two weeks ago to testify in regard to the Arizona experience for Clean Elections for a study committee, a Governor's Study Committee there. And it's quite interesting and lots of challenging questions and good ideas. So that was worthwhile.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes that the minutes of May 25th, 2006 be approved as prepared. All in favor say, "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Next item on the agenda is Item IV, consideration and decision whether there is reason to believe a violation occurred in MUR 06-0002, complaint against Len Munsil. And because we have such an extensive number of people here, I will limit initial comments to 10 minutes a person, and if there's rebuttal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair and Commissioners. Good morning. You have before you my Executive Director report. I'm not going to reread it. I'll just point out the highlights. I enjoyed a trip to Santa Fe two weeks ago to testify in regard to the Arizona experience for Clean Elections for a study committee, a Governor's Study Committee there. And it's quite interesting and lots of challenging questions and good ideas. So that was worthwhile. You see things are going fine on the accounting and audit issues, along with the state candidate statement pamphlets, those are at the printer now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes that the minutes of May 25th, 2006 be approved as prepared. All in favor say, "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Next item on the agenda is Item IV, consideration and decision whether there is reason to believe a violation occurred in MUR 06-0002, complaint against Len Munsil. And because we have such an extensive number of people here, I will limit initial comments to 10 minutes a person, and if there's rebuttal after the fact, it will be five minutes. We will start	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair and Commissioners. Good morning. You have before you my Executive Director report. I'm not going to reread it. I'll just point out the highlights. I enjoyed a trip to Santa Fe two weeks ago to testify in regard to the Arizona experience for Clean Elections for a study committee, a Governor's Study Committee there. And it's quite interesting and lots of challenging questions and good ideas. So that was worthwhile. You see things are going fine on the accounting and audit issues, along with the state candidate statement pamphlets, those are at the printer now. Participation. The interesting thing there is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes that the minutes of May 25th, 2006 be approved as prepared. All in favor say, "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Next item on the agenda is Item IV, consideration and decision whether there is reason to believe a violation occurred in MUR 06-0002, complaint against Len Munsil. And because we have such an extensive number of people here, I will limit initial comments to 10 minutes a person, and if there's rebuttal after the fact, it will be five minutes. We will start out with Mr. Lang giving an introduction to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair and Commissioners. Good morning. You have before you my Executive Director report. I'm not going to reread it. I'll just point out the highlights. I enjoyed a trip to Santa Fe two weeks ago to testify in regard to the Arizona experience for Clean Elections for a study committee, a Governor's Study Committee there. And it's quite interesting and lots of challenging questions and good ideas. So that was worthwhile. You see things are going fine on the accounting and audit issues, along with the state candidate statement pamphlets, those are at the printer now. Participation. The interesting thing there is because of the petition challenges, a couple races are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes that the minutes of May 25th, 2006 be approved as prepared. All in favor say, "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Next item on the agenda is Item IV, consideration and decision whether there is reason to believe a violation occurred in MUR 06-0002, complaint against Len Munsil. And because we have such an extensive number of people here, I will limit initial comments to 10 minutes a person, and if there's rebuttal after the fact, it will be five minutes. We will start out with Mr. Lang giving an introduction to the Commission. I will then allow anyone from the public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair and Commissioners. Good morning. You have before you my Executive Director report. I'm not going to reread it. I'll just point out the highlights. I enjoyed a trip to Santa Fe two weeks ago to testify in regard to the Arizona experience for Clean Elections for a study committee, a Governor's Study Committee there. And it's quite interesting and lots of challenging questions and good ideas. So that was worthwhile. You see things are going fine on the accounting and audit issues, along with the state candidate statement pamphlets, those are at the printer now. Participation. The interesting thing there is because of the petition challenges, a couple races are uncontested and so we have had a few candidates pull out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes that the minutes of May 25th, 2006 be approved as prepared. All in favor say, "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Next item on the agenda is Item IV, consideration and decision whether there is reason to believe a violation occurred in MUR 06-0002, complaint against Len Munsil. And because we have such an extensive number of people here, I will limit initial comments to 10 minutes a person, and if there's rebuttal after the fact, it will be five minutes. We will start out with Mr. Lang giving an introduction to the Commission. I will then allow anyone from the public that wishes to speak pursuant to those rules to speak.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair and Commissioners. Good morning. You have before you my Executive Director report. I'm not going to reread it. I'll just point out the highlights. I enjoyed a trip to Santa Fe two weeks ago to testify in regard to the Arizona experience for Clean Elections for a study committee, a Governor's Study Committee there. And it's quite interesting and lots of challenging questions and good ideas. So that was worthwhile. You see things are going fine on the accounting and audit issues, along with the state candidate statement pamphlets, those are at the printer now. Participation. The interesting thing there is because of the petition challenges, a couple races are uncontested and so we have had a few candidates pull out of participating status because they're uncontested.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes that the minutes of May 25th, 2006 be approved as prepared. All in favor say, "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Next item on the agenda is Item IV, consideration and decision whether there is reason to believe a violation occurred in MUR 06-0002, complaint against Len Munsil. And because we have such an extensive number of people here, I will limit initial comments to 10 minutes a person, and if there's rebuttal after the fact, it will be five minutes. We will start out with Mr. Lang giving an introduction to the Commission. I will then allow anyone from the public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair and Commissioners. Good morning. You have before you my Executive Director report. I'm not going to reread it. I'll just point out the highlights. I enjoyed a trip to Santa Fe two weeks ago to testify in regard to the Arizona experience for Clean Elections for a study committee, a Governor's Study Committee there. And it's quite interesting and lots of challenging questions and good ideas. So that was worthwhile. You see things are going fine on the accounting and audit issues, along with the state candidate statement pamphlets, those are at the printer now. Participation. The interesting thing there is because of the petition challenges, a couple races are uncontested and so we have had a few candidates pull out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	approval of the May 25th Commission meeting minutes. COMMISSIONER PARKER: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker was that? COMMISSIONER PARKER: Sure. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes that the minutes of May 25th, 2006 be approved as prepared. All in favor say, "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Next item on the agenda is Item IV, consideration and decision whether there is reason to believe a violation occurred in MUR 06-0002, complaint against Len Munsil. And because we have such an extensive number of people here, I will limit initial comments to 10 minutes a person, and if there's rebuttal after the fact, it will be five minutes. We will start out with Mr. Lang giving an introduction to the Commission. I will then allow anyone from the public that wishes to speak pursuant to those rules to speak.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. VARELA: I'm sorry, did you mean to skip Item III? Are you moving that? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'm sorry. No, I did not mean to skip it. Thank you. Before we go to Item IV, let's go to Item III, Executive Director's report. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair and Commissioners. Good morning. You have before you my Executive Director report. I'm not going to reread it. I'll just point out the highlights. I enjoyed a trip to Santa Fe two weeks ago to testify in regard to the Arizona experience for Clean Elections for a study committee, a Governor's Study Committee there. And it's quite interesting and lots of challenging questions and good ideas. So that was worthwhile. You see things are going fine on the accounting and audit issues, along with the state candidate statement pamphlets, those are at the printer now. Participation. The interesting thing there is because of the petition challenges, a couple races are uncontested and so we have had a few candidates pull out of participating status because they're uncontested.

statement pamphlet, you see a 186 candidates of the

approximately 214 candidates sent in statements and

those are in production as I said.

The debates are going well. Staff has been

attending. They started on Tuesday and had a couple

last night as well on Wednesday and staff will be

attending all of them. And the reports back are they

were interesting, fun, and the attendance is improving.

9 I will be attending some next week as well. We will --

we all have our assignments, Mike is working hard to

make sure we all attend the debates.

Unless you have questions, that concludes my 12

13 report.

14 COMMISSIONER PARKER: How does somebody

15

16 MR. LANG: Madame Chair, Commissioner Parker,

17 our rules permit participating candidates to withdraw

from participating status as long as they comply with

the various requirements and a couple of candidates have 19

20 done so.

2

5

21 COMMISSIONER PARKER: Okay.

22 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Commissioner Busching?

2.3 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Jolley.

24 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Yes, I have a question

25 for Todd. This is more under the Information segment

Page 7

Page

that you sent out. It says, "List of all candidate

committees for 2006." And this is for the primary

election. And I'm going to use the example of page 12

of 12 and page 11 of 12. And in there we have District

28 which is Bill Philips, he's a Republican, and then we

also have District 26, Lena Saradnik, a Democrat.

I noticed that the funds have been disbursed.

And since they are in basically an uncontested primary,

because of party affiliation, will they be able to carry

over part of the disbursed funds to the general election

or do they have to use those funds as they apply for the

12 general election funds?

13 MR. LANG: Madame Chair, Commissioner Jolley,

14 the answer is, no, they cannot carry those over. They

have to spend primary funds in the primary. That's

required by statute. 16

COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Okay. So, they don't

have that choice then? They can't say: Well, I really 18

don't need the funds as much as I will need them in the

20 general election?

MR. LANG: The one provision that allows to

address part of that concern is the one-party dominant 22

districts of course. You can get more money in the

primary. But other than that, no. If you have an

uncontested primary, you still have to spend your

Page 8

17

21

consideration and decision whether there is reason to

believe a violation occurred in MUR 06-0002, complaint

3 against Len Munsil. Mr. Lang?

MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair,

Commissioners. This is a complaint filed by Jeffrey Fox

on June 21 against the Len Munsil Campaign in regards to

an ad that came -- that appeared in a gun catalog sent

8 out to potential customers by a company known as J&G

9 Sales II, Inc.

10 You have in your packet -- this is Agenda Item

11 IV. You have in your packet the initial complaint along

with the exhibits, and this includes the original letter

written by J&G to the Secretary of State's office. You

14 also have the June 28th Len Munsil Campaign response

15 which was written by a law firm, I think Mueller &

Drury, and that's dated June 28th. After that you have

your July 11th notarized statement by Munsil affirming

18 that response, so we have a sworn notarization affirming

that response. And we have the July 12th notarization

20 by the owner of J&G which is right after the Len Munsil.

21 And that's signed and notarized by Mr. Brent DeSaye who

22 owns the company.

23 And then finally you have after that the letter

24 written by Mike Harris who is a candidate for governor

in regard to his concerns in this matter and I think he

primary money during that time.

COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Okay. Thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Or turn it back in.

MR. LANG: Or even better, turn it back in.

COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Okay. Thank you. COMMISSIONER PARKER: And Todd -- this is 6

Commissioner Parker -- have we heard anything from

8 Miller Allen regarding -- I mean, not Miller Allen, but

9 Fester and Chapman regarding the audit?

10 MR. LANG: Yeah. Actually, I meant to mention

11 that.

12 Madame Chair, in the Napolitano enforcement

matter they are finishing up their preliminary draft and

that will be on the agenda for the next Commission

15 meeting. Which is currently scheduled for two weeks

from today for your approval of the preliminary draft

17 and then there will be a final draft after that.

18 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Have they been assigned

19 any other audits at this point or is that the only one?

20 MR. LANG: Madame Chair, as far as I know,

21 that's the only one.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: And I saw heads nodding 22

23 that, yes, in fact, that's the only one.

24 Any other questions for Mr. Lang?

25 Okay. Now we'll go to Agenda Item IV,

will be here today to speak to the Commission. So youshould have all those documents.

The matter before you is actually rather
straightforward. The question is whether or not the Len
Munsil Campaign received an illegal contribution from
the J&G Sales Company in the form of this advertisement

that appeared in this catalog. As you know,

8 corporations are prohibited from donating to

9 participating candidates and, in fact, that's the10 allegation in the case here.

The key question for the Commission to decide is whether or not there was coordination between J&G and

13 the Munsil Campaign. Because if there was coordination,

14 that becomes a contribution and loses its status as an

15 independent expenditure. Independent expenditures

 $1\,6$ trigger separate provisions and concerns that aren't

17 here before you here today. But eventually, what that

 $1\,8$ $\,$ means is, if, in fact, it an independent expenditure it

19 may trigger matching funds for the other participating

20 candidates. In this case, that will be the Goldwater

21 Campaign once they qualify for public funding. But

before you today is simply the question of whether or

23 not this was a coordinated communication.

24 Based on the -- I've reviewed -- of course,

reviewed everything with care and talked with interested

Page 11

1 parties on both sides. And based on my review of the

2 documents before you and the facts, primarily that we

3 have sworn statements from the Munsil Campaign and from

4 the catalog owner indicating that there was no

5 coordination, I'm satisfied that there was not a

6 violation in that sense and the Commission should find

7 no reason to believe.

8 I say that because to lie -- intentionally lie

9 on a notarized statement triggers criminal charges, and

10 that gives me the assurance and comfort that the folks

11 who did these notarized statements understand what's at

12 stake and understand they face much more serious charges

13 than matching funds if they prevaricated.

So, because of that, and because in the past

15 the Commission has on occasion in lieu of, you know --

16 of course, we have the power to do an investigation,

17 that's, of course, if the Commission pleases, I will be

18 happy to do that. But in the past we have in lieu of

19 depositions and subpoenas, we have taken sworn

20 statements. So I am satisfied in this case that there

21 was no coordination between the campaigns, so that's why

22 I recommended the Commission find no reason to believe.

23 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any questions of

24 Mr. Lang?

14

25 If not, then I'll open it up to the public. Is

Page 12

there any member of the public that wishes to speak to

2 this matter? Sir, if you will come forward. You can

3 take the chair there.

MR. HARRIS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: State your name and be

advised that you have 10 minutes.

MR. HARRIS: Good morning. My name is Mike Harris. I'm a Republican candidate for governor. Thank

9 you, Commissioners and Mr. Director, for allowing me the

10 opportunity to address these very, very serious charges

11 here

5

6

12 I just listened to Director Lang's statement

and I have several issues with it. If I've not seen a

14 coordinated piece of literature, this is it. There's no
15 mistaking this. This is a political ad placed in a gun

16 catalog, which is a sales catalog. It -- clearly it is

10 Catalog, which is a sales catalog. It -- clearly it i

17 a solicitation for volunteers, it's a solicitation for

 $1\,8\,$ money, it's a solicitation for political support. It

19 very clearly says this.

20 If you go back to Mr. DeSaye's comments in his

21 response to the Secretary of State -- which, by the way,

is notarized, but that is not a sworn statement taken

under oath. And I would like to see these people put

24 under oath and be questioned appropriately concerning

this issue as to the issue of coordination.

Page 13

The matching fund issue is really a side issue.

What is of greater importance in this matter is that Mr.

3 Munsil knowingly violated campaign finance laws by

4 coordinating it. If you read this piece, Mr. Munsil and

5 Mr. DeSaye sat down together to discuss this election

6 and topics of importance to the state of Arizona. Now,

7 that -- that itself on face value tells me of

8 coordination. But aside from the matching funds issue,

9 there's an issue of 45,000 solicitations for funding

10 going out on behalf of the Munsil Campaign.

In addition to which, this occurrence -- this

12 event occurred during the seed money stage of Mr.

13 Munsil's Campaign. He was not yet a qualified candidate

for Clean Elections and was in the seed money stage.

15 And if we do our calculations correctly, this ad has a

value of over \$20 -- \$25,000, which clearly puts him

17 over the spending limits that he was allowed to do, and

18 he needs to amend his finance reports for that period

19 because this is an in-kind contribution and it's

20 inaccurate.

21 In addition to which, as Mr. Lang stated, this

22 is a corporate contribution and corporate contributions

23 to any campaign are poison. They're not allowed. It's

24 not what is there. And for that and the other reasons,

5 I -- I really would like to see a real in-depth

investigation done.

2 The Secretary of State's office kind of brushed 3 this thing by; in my opinion, shirked her duty with this one. Because there was one letter from the Secretary of State's office and one response from Mr. DeSaye. No one was ever put under oath and no one was ever asked to produce documents on it. And if you're going to call 8 that an investigation, it's pretty lame.

9 The other issues that I had is, has anyone spoken to the printer? The printer may be able to 10 11 substantiate where the source of the artwork that 12 appeared in this ad was. Because this art has Mr. Munsil's photograph on it and it's digitally identical to his campaign logo and the other materials that he 15

16 You know, they deny that there's any 17 coordination, but the denials which are made that are not under oath are meaningless. I want these people 19 under oath. I want them to have to look at a perjury charge if they decide to lie again. This is a serious 21 matter. And this really is about the integrity of the 22 electorate. 23 There's a very, very important thing that this

24 ruling either way could do. If Mr. Munsil is found to have violated the law, he may be -- you have a course of Page 15

action to remove him from the ballot. This needs to be

done speedily and quickly because time is of the

essence. Because if Mr. Munsil would win the primary

and has to be removed after the primary occurs, then

there is -- there's no procedure in the state's statutes

which allows for the replacement of Mr. Munsil for

un-voluntary removal. So if he dies, there a procedure.

If he voluntarily removes himself, there's a procedure.

9 But for an un-voluntary removal, there is no procedure

defined by statute. 10

11

So I'd like to get this thing looked at and 12 investigated very thoroughly and very rapidly, because

time is of the essence; September 12th is the primary,

we have less than 60 days. I would like to be sure if 14

Mr. Munsil is the winner of this, that he doesn't have

to look at removal after the primary or removal from 16

17 office downstream.

18 I want this to be a clean and honest election 19 and this type of stuff is just indicative of what has

20 been going on with the Munsil Campaign, because we've

21 been having some -- some real troubles within our

campaign of burglaries and other things, but I don't

23 want to digress on that.

24 What I'm asking for is to, please, order an 25 investigation because this needs to be a clean election.

Page 16

I'm really asking this Commission to honor their

mandates and honor their mission statement and please do

3 the job you've been commissioned by the citizens of

Arizona to do. You know, be thorough and do the work,

please. That's all we're asking here. Just take a look

into this and make sure these findings are not

7

8

9

25

whitewashed over and there is a thorough investigation.

If you look at this and you read it, it's an ad, I'm sorry. And it defies, you know, credence, if you will. That the Munsil Campaign does not have any

11 idea that this was going out -- I have my own campaign.

12 I know what goes out. I'm responsible for what goes

out. If anyone wants my artwork and wants my picture,

and is going to write something like this for me, I want

to know what they're going to say and how they're going

to say it. If you're going to make blunders in the 16

17 election, this is the biggest blunder you could make.

18 They're hiding behind what's called the

19 editorial excuse. This is an ad that appears in a sales

catalog. This is not a newspaper. It's not a magazine. It's a sales catalog. The purpose is to sell guns, to

sell gun equipment. If you want to buy an infield

mounting scope for \$19.95, it's on the same page. This

24 is no different than Sharper Image.

But that's really it. That's my point. I want

Page 17

to be sure that we have a level playing field here. Mr.

Munsil is running as a Clean Election candidate. I am

running as a traditional candidate. I have to raise

money the hard way. I'd like to make sure if he is

taking the state's money, he is doing so legally with no

unfair or undue advantage. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you, sir. Do any

of the Commissioners have any questions for Mr. Harris?

No? Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Excuse me. Yes. What's 10

11 the basis for your statement that there was

coordination? 12

13 MR. HARRIS: Well, look at the photo-identical

14 art. Look at the picture on here. Look at the

15 statement that they make here. "Welcome to the

political season. I was thankful for the recent

opportunity to sit down with a candidate for governor, 17

18 Len Munsil, to find out where he stands on some

19 important topics.

20 "We discussed several gun-related issues that 2.1 are critical to Arizona shooters and hunters. I was so

happy to learn that Len definitely supports any

23 law-abiding, U.S. citizen in owning firearms and

24 carrying them how and where they choose."

The fact that he sat down and discussed issues

is electionary. And then this comes out. You're going

to tell me this is unsolicited? This defies credibility

if you believe this is unsolicited. Just because he

liked the -- if it was, he damaged the Munsil Campaign

severely.

6 But this really needs to be looked at. I mean,

let's find out, because there has been no investigation.

The Secretary of State sends one letter, they responded

with one letter; let's have a thorough, complete

investigation of this. Put the people under oath. Ask

Mr. Munsil, ask his campaign staff, ask Mr. DeSaye under

12 oath: What did you do; how did you meet; who went

there; what did you talk about; who from your campaign

provided the artwork; what did the printer have to say?

Let's talk to the printer, find out where he got the

16 artwork from.

17 Who crafted this piece actually? This piece is

very well written. It seems like it's written well

enough to be by a political consultant of some kind who

has an agenda here he wants to advance within the

21 gun-owning population of the state. And it's just --

I'm sorry. I hope I answered your question.

23 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Well, you did. But

24 having been in a number of campaigns myself and having

been interviewed by all kinds of interest groups, those

Page 19

1 interviews take place and the interest group then

develops a statement of support or opposition to your

candidacy.

With regard to the artwork. That could have

been plagiarized from any one of a number of sources,

6 campaign literature they picked up off of a table

someplace, letterhead. And so I think that's kind of a

loose attempt to create a connection. I -- I don't

quite agree with the way you're presenting your position

10 on those two points.

MR. HARRIS: Well, two issues. Number one, J&G

12 II Sales, Inc. is not an interest group, they're a

corporation. They're a registered Arizona corporation.

14 They've been in business for a number of years. They're

not an interest group. Let's be clear about that. This

16 is corporate.

11

17 Number two, if it was plagiarized, let's find

out. Let's look into it. Let's find out. You know, if

there are people are out there plagiarizing, there

20 should be penalties for that too. But let's find out

21 what the truth is here.

22 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Well -- fine. Thank

23 you.

24 MR. HARRIS: Okay.

25 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other questions for

Page 20

Page 21

Mr. Harris?

2 Thank you.

3 MR. HARRIS: Thank you.

4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Anyone else from the

5 public wishes to speak? Sir.

6 MR. GREENE: Good morning, Commissioners. My

name is John Greene. I'd like to thank you for your

8 service before I forget. I know this is not an easy

9

10 I've been a lawyer for 34 years, I've been in

11 public service, and I've worked on lots of campaigns,

12 and I studied Title 16.

13 I have tremendous respect for Mr. Lang, but I

14 disagree with his assessment of what the letters in the

file really mean. They are not statements under oath.

They're signatures that people attested that they signed

17 a document. I really think that an investigation needs

to be done. Commissioner Kunasek's questions were

really good. But I would say that we're not looking at

20 complete certainty here.

21 Mr. Lang's report said that it's been --

there's no proof that there's been coordination. We

think that there is more than sufficient probable cause

that there has been a coordination of efforts, whether

purposefully or by mistake because it just doesn't make

2

The Arizona Revised Statutes makes it very easy

3 to prove that something is not an independent

expenditure. And I think that the reason that the

legislature did that over the years is because it's very

difficult to prove a secret agreement. But if you look

at the section that Mr. Lang didn't quote, the (A)

through (D) in 901.14, it gives you rules that if they

are true, will dictate or deem as a matter of law

coordination. And (D) in that line of, let's call them

evidentiary rules even though they're technically not --

I know you're a lawyer, Ms. -- Madame Chairman. If you

13 show that, you're deemed to have coordinated.

14 I'm just trying to apply common sense. I was

15 shocked when I saw this campaign piece as a citizen.

And in the interest of full disclosure, I am helping Mr. 16

Harris in his campaign. I'm a former candidate myself,

and as a matter of fact, one of the qualifying 18

candidates that withdrew. 20 I -- I am very much concerned that this

21 corporation, which made the biggest possible mistake a

person in this state can make in the campaign world, and

that is have a corporation pay money, because they're

looking at a class six felony and probably going to be

guilty of that because it's not an editorial. I can't

- believe that they made that mistake on their own. I
- 2 think that they are a pawn in this thing. I think
- someone -- I'm not saying Mr. Munsil did this
- personally, but I think someone in his campaign made a
- huge mistake.
- 6 And I think because of the stakes involved, the
 - fact that a class six felony is involved, the fact that
- one of the penalties here is disqualification from the
- ballot, that we can't accept a couple of letters, which
- I've read and the language is very nicely parsed, by the 10
 - way. They don't -- they don't really explain
- 12 coordination in terms of what the law requires as
- 13 coordination.
- 14 If we accept these letters, I think we're doing
- a disservice. I think there's a smoking gun here. I
- 16 think the fact that the writer of this piece incredibly
- 17 admitted in the piece that he sat down with the
- candidate and discussed the issues, the various issues
- 19 that showed up in this campaign piece. That's 95
- percent of what you need under 901.14(D) to show
- 21 coordination. The only other thing that was missing was
- done with a view towards having this piece done.
- 23 So we're not here saying that coordination
- 24 actually, absolutely happened. We're here saying this
- is such a serious matter and there is so much

- Page 23
- undeniable, undisputed evidence already that hasn't even
- been gathered as a result of an investigation, pointing
- to coordination, that it is incumbent upon this
- Commission, which has proven in the past to take the
- tough decision and to do things that create a lot of
- controversy, I think the Commission should do an
- investigation, put people under oath. I would love to
- talk to the people at J&G Gun Sales and ask them what --
- what possessed them to make this obvious illegal
- 10 expenditure.
- 11 And I think we'll find out a lot. At any rate,
- 12 thank you. I hope I've made some sense at all. All
- we're asking for is an investigation. I don't think
- 14 that we can rely -- and I would ask you to look at the
- letters Mr. Lang cited. I don't think we can rely on
- those as explaining what I see as a smoking gun. 16
- 17 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you.
- 18 MR. GREENE: I'll be happy to answer any
- 19 questions.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Do any of the
- 21 Commissioners have any questions of Mr. Greene? If not,
- 22 thank you, sir.

24

- 23 MR. GREENE: Thank you very much.
 - CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Anyone else from the
- 25 public wish to speak? Sir.

Page 24

Page 25

- MR. MADDOX: My name is David Maddox. Mr. Lang
- and I spoke yesterday. I'm an attorney for the Len
- 3 Munsil Campaign. I just wanted to make a couple points
- in light of what has been said today.
- 5 First, the campaign's position is obviously
- different in two respects. The first being we don't
- believe that this ad, or editorial, or commentary, or
- 8 whatever you choose to call it, is, in fact, an
- 9 expenditure under 16-901.8(A). We believe clearly that
- commentaries are editorials in any kind of periodical
- 11 publications. It's like what was just explained by the
- 12 Commissioner. I mean, you sit down with these people,
- they want to write something, they can write something.
- As long as there's no coordination or intent, there's
- 15
- nothing wrong with it. 16 In this instance, it's not an expenditure
- 17 specifically by the statute. We believe the first
- 18 amendment and the statute itself says that what occurred
- here was not an expenditure and it was not in any way an
- 20 illegal activity.
- 21 I will say also that Mr. Lang talked to me as a
- representative of the campaign and I'm confident that
- somebody talked to Mr. DeSaye, and it was very clear
- when what was filed by Mr. Munsil, was filed and it was
- under oath and he was subject to penalties of perjury.

- I'm sure that was made clear to Mr. DeSave also. And
- you will note in the letter that he filed as well, it
- doesn't just say subscribed but sworn or affirmed
- before. I think he clearly understood that the
- statements he made were subject to the penalties of
- perjury if they were not true.
- On the issue of coordination, if you actually
- get to that point, responding just to some of the things
- that had been said, Mr. DeSaye explains where the
- heading came from. He says in his letter that he did
- this without Munsil's knowledge or cooperation by going
- to the Website and simply copying it. So we know where
- it came from, we know what he did. His comments are
- very straightforward, there was no coordination. He
- didn't ask permission to write it. The graphics weren't 15
- given. There's no input. There was no decision to 16
- input on the content. Mr. DeSaye did it all himself.
- 18 And, accordingly, what the campaign looks at is
- not much more than I picked up the Arizona Republic 19
- 20 today and there were some things on the editorial page
- that I didn't like and that's because of my particular
- 22 political persuasion, but they have the absolute right 23 to do that.
- 24 The legislature when it passed this statute, it
- is our position they fairly set some exceptions. And

Page 29

Page 26

1

- the issue here that's really important is the First
- Amendment issue that any candidate, not Mr. Munsil, take
- Mr. Munsil and set him aside, any candidate have the
- right to be protected as people express their own
- opinions. And they express them in regular publications
- of some nature. This is not a newspaper, but it doesn't
- have to be. It says "other periodic publications" under
- the statute.
- 9 And in this instance, the Munsil Campaign feels
- strongly that everyone should have those protections.
- They're clearly First Amendment protections and also
- protections under the statute.
- 13 So there was no coordination and we don't
- 14 believe there was any violation and that the complaint
- should be dismissed so the Commission can get on to much
- more serious matters which I know you are going to have.
- 17 And I'm perfectly willing to answer any questions anyone
- 18
- 19 By the way, hello, Mr. Lang. I've never met
- 20 you.
- 21 MR. LANG: Good to meet you.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any questions by members
- 23 of the Commission? If not --
- 24 MR. MADDOX: If not, thank you. And I thank
- 25 you for your service. I know this is difficult.

- CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you, sir.
- 2 MR. MADDOX: You're going to do a lot of this
- 3 over the next couple months.
 - COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Oh, God.
- 5 MR. LANG: I definitely agree with that.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Is there anyone else
- 7 from the public that wishes to speak to this matter?
- 8 MR. HARRIS: Can I clarify a couple things?
- 9 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: If there's no one else
- 10 that wishes to speak, yes, Mr. Harris, I'll let you.
- 11 Well, Mr. Lang, do you want to talk first and
- 12 then let Mr. Harris rebut?
- 13 MR. LANG: No, that's fine.
- 14 MR. HARRIS: Go ahead. Let him go first. He
- 15 might give me something good.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: You might rebut him.
- 17 MR. LANG: Madame Chair, thank you,
- Commissioners. I just wanted to agree with Mr. Harris
- in part and disagree with Mr. Maddox in part. But you
- 20 will see my point. I agree with Mr. Harris on two
- points. One is this is clearly expressed advocacy. I
- think it is clearly an advertisement, so I agree with
- him there. I also agree with him at least
- preliminarily, and we'll have to ask our counsel down
- the road for legal advice, but my initial take is this

Page 28

- does not fit the newspaper exception. And with that I But in this case -- but in this case, we don't
 - have that sort of information. And, more importantly,
 - what we do have are these sworn notarized statements
 - from both saying that no such coordination took place.
 - 5 And we have researched the issue. Those sworn
 - statements are subject to perjury charges, they are
 - subject to criminal sanctions. So I don't share their
 - concern that they're lying in these -- in these letters. 8
 - 9 So, I'm comfortable with that.
 - 10 So with that, I just want to clarify those
 - 11 points for you.
 - 12 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Mr. Harris, five
 - 13 minutes.
 - 14 MR. HARRIS: Yes. Thank you. Mr. Lang made a
 - 15 very succinct case for why we should have an
 - 16 investigation. His statements are accurate. Let's --

 - let's get to the bottom of this. Let's put these people under oath and ask them the difficult questions that are
 - addressed by Mr. Fox in his complaint to the Clean 19

 - 20 Elections Commission. Those questions have not been
 - 21 answered.
 - 22 These are very terse, very guarded statements
 - both by Mr. DeSaye and by Mr. Munsil's Campaign, and I
 - would like to see these people put under oath and
 - deposed because we need to know the truth on this. We

- 3 this is an expressed advocacy. But, ultimately, as you
- see, I conclude there is no coordination.
- 5 But Mr. Greene did get to the heart of the
- matter. If there is coordination, I think he's right
- that 16-901.14(D) is where you're going to find the hit.
- And what that says is, it's not an independent 8
- 9 expenditure if: "The expenditure is based on
- information about the candidate's plans, projects, or
- 11 needs, or those of his campaign committee provided to
- 12 the expending person by the candidate, or candidate
- agent, or office member, or employee by the campaign
- 14 with a view towards having the expenditure made."
- 15 What that means is -- what I should say is, 16
- what that doesn't mean is, if a candidate meets with an 17 interest group, whether it's Planned Parenthood or
- 18 Arizona Citizens for Life or -- or a gun catalog dealer,
- 19 what that doesn't mean is, if they talk about the
- issues, that that's coordination or planning. What it
- means is, if Len Munsil's Campaign came to this gun
- catalog and said, hey, I -- I want to protect the Second
- Amendment, I agree with you on the issues, I need you to run an ad, I need you to reach out to the gun owners for
- us, then that would be coordination.

Page 33

Page 30

- really do. This is important. It's important to the
- citizens that are the electorate of Arizona. We deserve
- the highest standards of integrity in this election.
- Thank you very much.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. Any last
- questions for Mr. Harris? If not, we'll turn to the
- Commissioners and any questions they have or any
- 8 discussions they want to do before I entertain a motion.
- 9 COMMISSIONER PARKER: I have a question. This
- is Royann Parker. I don't have my ARS book up here with
- me. What is the definition of an independent
- 12 expenditure?
- 13 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Mr. Lang?
- 14 MR. LANG: Madame Chair, Commissioners,
- independent expenditure is defined at the section Mr.
- 16 Greene eluded to, 16-901 part 14. And what it says is:
- Independent expenditure means an expenditure by a person
- or committee other than a campaign committee that
- 19 expressly advocates the election or defeat of a clearly
- 20 identified campaign or candidates that is made without
- coordination or consultation with any candidate, or
- committee, or agent of the candidate, that is not made
- 23 in concert or at the request or suggestion of a
- candidate or any committee or agent of the candidate.
- 25 COMMISSIONER PARKER: Okay.

- MR. LANG: It goes on -- but that's the
- 2. relevant part of the definition. There's a number of
- exclusions and Mr. Greene and I discussed the most
- relevant exclusion in this case.
- COMMISSIONER PARKER: So then would that mean
- that any other business that decided to interview them
- and make a similar thing, would be also an independent
- expenditure in your view?

9

- MR. LANG: In many cases. There are
- exceptions, and the one that's talked about today is the
- newspaper exception. And there are a number of other
- 12 exceptions. One of the key exceptions not relevant
- today, but that is related, is that companies can send
- messages to their shareholders and their employees and
- that sort of thing, and that's accepted. Groups --
- membership groups can send letters to their members, and
- 17 that's accepted. So, there's a number of exceptions
- that don't trigger independent expenditure provisions.
- But in my view, those don't apply here today. 19
- 20 The other point I'd make is that, if it's an
- 21 independent expenditure or not is a secondary question
- we don't need to decide today. The issue today is
- whether there was coordination.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: And Mr. Lang, other than
- the 16-901.14, is there any place that the Commissioners

Page 32

- have we seen previous issues to see if, you know, does
 - he have an ongoing dialogue with his customers?
 - 3 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: And if I could, if I
 - could -- this is Karl. Royann, could I piggyback on
 - your question?
 - 6 Obviously, candidates have been interviewed by
 - the National Rifle Association. Have they ever carried
 - a similar ad or editorial, whatever we want to
 - 9 characterize it for candidates other than Mr. Munsil,
 - for example, federal candidates?
 - 11 Although that would not trigger State of
 - 12 Arizona Clean Elections Commission, but I think it would
 - indicate if this was a one time only or if has been done
 - 14 in other elections.
 - 15 MR. LANG: Madame Chair and Commissioners, we
 - did -- I did not -- the staff did not look into that
 - issue. We decided this issue on the documents we had
 - and on the merits of the arguments raised by Mr. Harris
 - and others, and we didn't look at that issue. We
 - 20 certainly can though.
 - 21 COMMISSIONER PARKER: Madame Chair, this is
 - 22 Commissioner Jolley.
 - 23 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Go forward -- go ahead.
 - COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: I have a question for
 - 25 Todd.

24

can focus on to determine coordination? Or is

- coordination just determined by the negative of whether
- 3 it's an independent expenditure?
- MR. LANG: Madame Chair, as far as I know,
- 5 that's where you would look. It's defined in the
- negative. 6
- 7 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Ms. Varela, are 8 you in agreement?
- 9 MS. VARELA: Yes. As far as I know, that's
- 10 where the Commission would look.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: So, it's really -- so 12
- under those circumstances, it's an either/or. It's
- either an independent expenditure or coordinated
- expenditure and the Commission has to decide which of
- 15 those two it is?
- MR. LANG: Assuming it's expressed advocacy and 16
- 17 political speech, then, yes. Or it could be an
- independent expenditure that's not coordinated but still 18
- be an exception; for instance, the newspaper and all the
- other issues we discussed. But, if it's expressed
- advocacy and it's coordinated, then it's not an 22 independent expenditure, it's a donation.
- 23 COMMISSIONER PARKER: Mr. Lang, do we know if
- in this company in their catalog they send out on a
- regular basis, do they often have editorial comments or

Page 35 Page 34 as I mentioned when I was asking Mr. Harris a question, Todd, J&G Sales has solicited subscribers. 2 Doesn't that put them in a certain type of category my experience in running campaigns, and none of my because it's not a mass mailing? campaigns -- they all pre-date Clean Elections, and I MR. LANG: Madame Chair, Commissioner Jolley, guess so I ran dirty campaigns is the only assumption the definition of the newspaper exception includes you can make. But you are interviewed without any subscribers. And if we decided to proceed and determine 6 understanding, you're asked questions without any feel that this was something we need to do, that's something for what those questions, the way you answer them might be used against you, for you. You usually have a good we can look at. I believe though that based on the 9 cases I've read, that subscribers generally mean folks 9 idea but there's no commitment made. I fail to see the who actually subscribe and not folks who are on a coordination issue supported here. And for that, I do mailing list because they bought something from the not agree that there was a coordination. company or what have you. But that's something to be 12 With regard to the independent expenditure, now 1.3 seen down the road. I am not -- I'm not that familiar with that part of the 13 14 I know that the federal government -- we often 14 law, but I definitely think there's no coordination. 15 look to the federal regulations for guidance and I know 15 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: And, luckily, we don't their newspaper exceptions are rather narrow. For have to deal with the independent expenditure issue 16 16 today. 17 precisely the concerned corporations could print 17 something and call it a newspaper when really they want 18 I agree with you, Commissioner Kunasek. I -to expressly advocate for someone and get around any initially when I read all these materials, I initially 19 19 2.0 regulation. So generally the federal exception for 20 was inclined not to agree with the Executive Director, 21 newspapers is limited. 21 but after I received the sworn letter from J&G Sales 22 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Okay. Thank you. yesterday afternoon and saw in there that, in fact, J&G 23 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: If there's nothing else, Sales, Mr. Brent DeSaye did swear and affirm that he 24 the Chair will entertain a motion or have discussion. solely developed this editorial independent of the 25 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Well, Madame Chair, I -corporation or the political committee and that he Page 36 Page 37 basically plagiarized the logo from the Website, I was votes aye. Motion carries unanimously. convinced that the sworn statements were there, and 2 The next item on the agenda is Item -- thank accurate, and I would believe them 3 you all for coming and speaking. 4 And unless there was other evidence that came MR. MADDOX: Thank you. 5 to the forefront by someone, I think that we can't go 5 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: We appreciate your looking for a problem if none exists. And we don't have input. 6 any evidence that it exists based upon sworn statements. 7 Next item on the agenda is Item V, And, so, I'm in agreement with the Executive Director's 8 consideration and decision whether there is reason to 9 recommendation. 9 believe a violation occurred in MUR 06-0003, complaint 10 Any other comments by members of the 10 against Cheryl Chase. Mr. Lang. 11 Commission? If not --11 MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair, 12 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Madame Chair, I would 12 Commissioners. You have before you the reasons -- the -- this is Commissioner Scaramazzo. I would move to statement of reasons by the Executive Director. 1 4 find no reason to believe in this case. 14 Attached to that is the original complaint filed by 15 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Is there a second? 15 Pinal County Democrats, Mr. Joseph Robinson, the Chair, 16 COMMISSIONER PARKER: I'll second. filed that, and the original campaign finance report 17 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by that was filed on the January 31st finance report that Commissioner Scaramazzo and seconded by Commissioner 18 shows violations on the third page regarding our Parker that we uphold the recommendation of the 19 communications account. You then have Ms. Chase's Executive Director and find no reason to believe in the 20 response letter basically saying she corrected the

21

22

problem and some follow-up letters.

And then you have on the side -- unfortunately,

those of you on the phone, which is the majority of the

Commission, don't have this. It's the revised January

31st report. It's not necessary for your consideration,

matter of Len Munsil MUR 06-0002.

(Chorus of ayes.)

call for the question, all in favor say, "aye."

Any further discussion? If not, the Chair will

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair

22

23

24

Page 39 Page 38 it's just there to show that, in fact, yes, she 1 that's shown in the revised report. corrected her campaign finance report. 2 And so for that reason, although there was 3 What this case is about is actually a violation technically a violation, I recommend that the Commission 4 did occur. Ms. Chase accepted contributions from PACs find no reason to believe. and also accepted contributions over the limit for her CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Kunasek? 6 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Was the revised report 6 constituent communication account. We're talking about filed before the complaint was filed? five -- five or so contributions. She explained when we MR. LANG: Madame Chair, Commissioner Kunasek, 8 brought it to her attention several months ago, she 8 9 9 that is my understanding. explained that this occurred simply because of an error, COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So had then -- had then she just put them in the wrong account. Be that as it 10 may, we asked her to correct the problem and refund the the complainant reviewed the revised report, we assume 11 12 money and she did do that. 12 they would not have filed the complaint? 13 13 MR. LANG: They may still have because she did Unfortunately, the Website on the Secretary of 14 State's Website, while it features the original report technically violate the law. But she says -- according 15 which is the January 30 -- 31st report and the June 30th to her signed, sworn statement, she says she revised it 16 report, it doesn't put up -- their software doesn't 16 on April 7th. enable them to put up the revised reports. And they've 17 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: When was the complaint 18 filed? 18 assured me they're working on that for the next election 19 19 MR. LANG: The complaint was filed much more 20 So this complaint was filed, I think, primarily 20 recently. June 9th. June 9th. So I think if that 21 because the person who filed the complaint wasn't aware 21 gentleman just looked at the proper report, which I that the problem had been remedied. So there you have don't blame him, he went to the Website, and I think it. It's a rather straightforward issue. She accepted it's reasonable to believe the report will be accurate. 24 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Thank you. PAC money and too much money from a couple of donors. 25 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: And in order to find the She corrected the problem, she returned the funds, and Page 40 Page 41 accurate report, you have to go to the Secretary of word. I'm confident. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other 2 State's office? 2

3 MR. LANG: Madame Chair, that's my understanding. We have -- Clean Elections, the Commission has access to the new reports through the software, but the public is required to go to the Secretary of State's office. If I'm incorrect, I'd love 8 to be corrected, but that's my understanding. 9 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: This is a very good point that I was not aware of. You would think that the 11 revised report would be available on the Website as well 12 as the original report. 13 MR. LANG: They're working on that. 14 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: And I find it very 15 unfortunate, because the Secretary of State has had the time to revise her entire Website in many, many 16 17 respects, and yet this particular one --18 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: This one.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: -- has been falling

through the cracks since even before our last election

when she promised it before this election. So, I can

before the next election and it's not done.

remember discussion last August where she, in fact, went

through her people and wrote and said we'll have it done

MR. LANG: Joe and Kevin have given me their

19

24

25

3 questions of Mr. Lang? If not, the Chair will entertain a 5 motion. 6 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Commission -- Chairperson 7 Busching, this is Commissioner Jolley. 8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Go ahead. 9 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: In the matter of MUR No. 06-0003, I will move that we accept the Executive Director's recommendation that the Commission dismiss 12 the matter under review for Cheryl Chase, State Representative District 8. 14 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: I will second that. 15 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Jolley and seconded by Commissioner Kunasek that we accept the Executive Director's recommendation 18 and dismiss the complaint in MUR 06-0003, Cheryl Chase. 19 All in favor say, "aye." 20 (Chorus of ayes.) 21 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: All opposed, nay? Chair 22 votes aye. Motion carries. 23 Commissioner Kunasek -- Commissioner 24 Scaramazzo, are you there? 25 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'm still here. I

Page 42 voted "aye." 2 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Thank you. 3 The next item on the agenda is Item VII, 4 consideration and possible -- oh, no. Item VI, discussion and possible action on proposed payment agreement MUR 04-0043, Peggy Toomey Hammann. Mr. Lang? MR. LANG: Madame Chair, the Assistant AG, 8 Diana Varela, will be presenting this matter. 9 MS. VARELA: Madame Chair and Commissioners, 10 this agreement we have brought to the Commission for its 11 consideration. My understanding from staff was that Ms. 12 Hammann has requested that there be a payment agreement. She is unable to satisfy the entire amount of the final 1.3 order and it is at her request that the Commission enter 15 into a payment agreement with her. So we are bringing 16 it to your -- to your attention for your consideration 17 and action. 18 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Madame Chair? 19 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Scaramazzo. 20 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Yes. I just -- I'd

21 like to know a little bit more about the discussions

25 payment schedule.

24

25

that took place. We had a payment agreement established

at one time during this case, and Ms. Toomey failed to

24 -- or Ms. Hammann failed to meet the obligations of that

Page 43 What guarantees do we have in place that she 2 will follow through this time? 3 MS. VARELA: Commissioner -- Madame Chair. Commissioner Scaramazzo, I actually did not have those communications with her. My understanding is that she was unable to pay the entire amount of the final order which is about \$2,800, and it was at her request that we 8 did this. 9 I -- I am aware of the situation that you're talking about before. And my recollection actually is 10 that that agreement wasn't even in place because she 12 failed to sign that agreement. 13 In this agreement, there's a provision that she 14 needs to -- if she's serious about this, and if the Commission is inclined to enter into it, she needs to sign it, execute it, and get it back to the Commission no later than July 31st of this -- at the end of this 18 month; otherwise, we just go back to the final order. 19 With respect to whether or not she's going to 20 follow through, I can't speak to that. But I -- but I 21 think that this agreement would cover that and we can 22 still take action against her pursuant to this

Page 44

COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Ms. Varela, the recourse -- one of the recourses would be a civil lawsuit to 3 recover? MS. VARELA: Right. And we've -- in the 5 Attorney General's office we have a bankruptcy and 6 collection section that works with us. They actually are the ones who would do it. But, yeah, there's some 8 sort of civil action that we could take. 9 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Is there anything in either the Clean Elections statute or any other statute 11 that would say: If you fail -- if you don't enter the agreement, of course, we're back to square one -- but 12 then if you fail to pay up, you're not eligible to run for office again? 14 15 Kind of similar to the -- the impeachment 16 statute, which says that if you are impeached, you're 17 not eligible to run for office again. 18 MS. VARELA: Right. COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Is there anything like 19 20 that? 2.1 We're trying to clean up and enforce Clean 22 Elections, perhaps some real teeth would say, if you 23 don't come clean and pay up, you can't run again.

MS. VARELA: Right. There is -- no.

COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Might take a

Page 45

agreement. Assuming she signs it and gets it back to us

on time and it's executed properly, this agreement gives

us recourse in the event she misses one of the payments.

constitutional amendment. MS. VARELA: There's definitely not anything in 3 Clean Elections Act itself. COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: That says that? 5 MS. VARELA: That says that. 6 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Thank you. 7 MS. VARELA: Uh-huh. 8 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Chairperson Busching? 9 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Jolley. 10 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Yes, I have a question 11 for Assistant Attorney General Diana Varela. I believe that the first settlement was that she -- that Ms. Peggy Hammann was to pay within three months the payment in 14 full. And I noticed on this agreement regarding 15 payment, it has now been stretched over a 12-month 16 period; is that correct? 17 MS. VARELA: That is correct with respect to this agreement, that it's over 12 months. With respect to the first agreement, I don't remember exactly but I 20 do agree with you that it was shorter than -- than 12 21 months. I'm not remembering the specific date. We 22 never actually had that agreement, she didn't sign it. 23 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Okay.

MS. VARELA: No, what Commissioner Scaramazzo

was talking about is we had tried to enter into a

Page 47 Page 46 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Commissioner settlement agreement and the Commission at that time was 2 willing to do that, but she never executed the 2 Kunasek? agreement. 3 3 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Are you ready for a COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Okay. 4 motion? 5 5 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I am. MS. VARELA: But that was the -- that was the 6 COMMISSIONER PARKER: I have one last question. 6 terms of the agreement to my recollection. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Parker? COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Okay. Thank you. 8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Mr. Lang? 8 COMMISSIONER PARKER: I notice her address is 9 9 at a Democratic Party Headquarters. Does she not have a MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair, Commissioners. I just wanted to point out that staff residence we would send this to? 10 10 came up with these terms based on the conversations with 11 MS. VARELA: Madame Chair, Commissioner Parker, 12 her and based on her representations as to her financial 12 that is the address that she has asked us to send any sort of documents to. That's one of the difficulties situation. She has been difficult to work with on many 13 1.3 14 occasions, but we have no reason to doubt her on this 14 that staff has had with her going back many, many particular issue, that is her ability to pay. So this months. And when we had the hearing in -- at the Office of Administrative Hearings, we specifically asked her on 16 seemed like a proper way to go. 17 I can assure all the Commissioners though that 17 record which address she wanted us to use and that was 18 if for any reason this agreement is either not signed by So, until she tells us otherwise, that's the 19 her or not fulfilled by her, we won't be back for 20 another settlement. So this is it. 20 address that she has provided to us. And as far as 21 MS. VARELA: And just so it's absolutely clear, 21 where she's living, I don't know. 22 COMMISSIONER PARKER: Okay. if she doesn't sign it, the Commission goes back to the 23 final order. If it gets signed and executed and she 23 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Kunasek? 24 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Madame Chair, I would misses a payment, then we proceed under the terms of the settlement agreement. 25 move Item No. VI to accept the proposed payment Page 48 Page 49 agreement in the Peggy Toomey Hammann situation. We told him that we did not expect him to be at 2 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Is there a second? the debate, but the Commission ultimately had to approve 3 COMMISSIONER PARKER: I'll second. it. Our rules are at 107. And, normally, our rules CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by require that a written request occur at least a week Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker prior to the debate. But given the circumstances and the late timing of the -- of the actual funeral, I would that we accept the proposed agreement regarding payment in the Peggy Toomey Hammann matter, MUR 04-0043. recommend to the Commission that we accept his letter 8 and excuse -- that the Commission excuse him from the All in favor say, "aye." 9 9 (Chorus of ayes.) debate which has already occurred. 10 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Aye barely. 10 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So moved. If you're 11 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair 11 ready for a motion. 12 12 votes aye. Motion carries. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Uh-huh. Is there a 13 I understand your frustration, Mr. 13 second? 14 Scaramazzo. 14 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Second. 15 Then we will move to Item VII, consideration 15 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by and possible action of Ben Miranda's request for 16 Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner exemption from participation in primary election debate Scaramazzo that the Commission exempt Candidate Miranda 18 for State Representative District 16. Mr. Lang? from participating in a debate for the primary election. 18 19 MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair, 19 All in favor say, "aye." 20 Commissioners. Sad to note that Representative (Chorus of ayes.) Miranda's brother died on July 4th. He wrote us and 21 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair faxed us a letter on July 10th asking to be excused from 22 votes aye. Motion carries. the debate on July 11th because the funeral was 23 We'll move to Item VIII, legal report by

24

Assistant Attorney General Diana Varela. Update on the

following matters: One, Rick Murphy, MUR 04-0079; two,

scheduled for just -- during the debate actually, 5:00

25 to 7:00 p.m.

David Burnell Smith, MUR 04-0023.

2 MS. VARELA: Madame Chair, Commissioners, thank

3 you. On the Rick Murphy matter, as you will recall, the

Commission issued an order -- I believe at one of its

meetings in May -- finding probable cause to believe

that there was a violation by Mr. Murphy. He has

requested a hearing and that has been scheduled. And to

8 my understanding, he has not requested an informal

settlement conference so we will be proceeding with the

hearing. It is scheduled for Wednesday, August 23rd at

1:30. That's kind of all there is to report on that one

12 unless anyone has any questions.

13 COMMISSIONER PARKER: Where do the hearings

14 take place?

15 MS. VARELA: They're at the Office of

16 Administrative Hearing. It's right on the corner --

right across from our office, 15th Avenue and

Washington.

19 COMMISSIONER PARKER: Thank you.

20 MS. VARELA: Uh-huh. And with respect to the

21 second matter, David Burnell Smith. Mr. Smith filed a

Motion to Set Aside the Court's Order of December 7th,

2005, and I have a copy of the pleading if anyone wants

24 a hard copy of that. I, obviously, will be responding

25 to that.

Page 51

Page 53

The gist of his argument is that a recent

decision by the U.S. Supreme Court provides a basis for

setting aside the Superior Court's order that was issued

in December of 2005. Obviously, we don't agree with

that and will be filing our papers shortly. The case

that he is relying on is a case called Randall versus

Sorrell that was issued June 26th, just a few weeks ago.

8 And it is a case -- it is a case dealing with

9 the campaign finance -- or campaign finance statutes in

Vermont. The court struck down both the expenditure

limits -- and this is a very, very simplified summary --

but struck down both the expenditure limits and the

contribution limits that were set forth in Vermont's 1.3

14

15 A significant difference between the facts of

16 that case and what the Commission is concerned with is

17 that it did not -- the Court was not looking at a public

financing scheme for candidates. It was looking at just

19 general campaign finance statutes. And I -- also I only

2.0 brought one copy because it's fairly lengthy, but if the

21 two commissioners who are here would like a copy, I can

22 ask staff to make a copy.

23 And that concludes my report unless anyone has

2.4 any questions.

25 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So, the Supreme Court

Page 52

It's like excusable neglect, mistake -- I'm not

remembering off the top of my head. But just reading

3 the terms of the rule, they seem completely not at all

appropriate to the pleading that he's brought. But

we'll make the appropriate arguments, you know, based on

that rule. But the rest of the reasons, it just says a

reasonable time

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: And he's filed this back

in the Superior Court as opposed to the Arizona Supreme

10 Court?

9

11 MS. VARELA: That's right.

12 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. All right. Well,

13 this continues to be interesting.

14 MS. VARELA: Yes.

15 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Appreciate the update on

16 that.

17 MS. VARELA: You're welcome. Thank you.

18 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other questions of

19 Ms. Varela?

20 If not, we'll go to Item IX, discussion and

21 consideration of personnel matter, re-open and complete

22 performance assessment and six-month review of the

Executive Director to discuss salary and Executive

Director's staff personnel issues. The Commission may

vote to discuss this matter in executive session

decision does not effect the Arizona Clean Elections

2 statutes?

3 MS. VARELA: Well, it didn't -- I mean, it will

remain to be seen if people bring challenges to the

Clean Elections system based on this, just based on this

recent decision. But the facts of the case are, I

7 think, different.

8 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Okay.

9 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: And, Ms. Varela, with

respect to Mr. Smith's filing, are there timelines for

11 responding and having this matter heard? And what do 12 you perceive as --

13 MS. VARELA: Well, his -- he -- there's only

14 one reference to a rule in his pleading. He references

Rule 60 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure. Rule 60(C) provides the grounds for a motion to set aside a

judgment and there are a number of different reasons

18 that someone could bring a Rule 60 motion. There are

19 six, in fact.

20 If you bring it under Rule 60(C)(1), (2), and

21 (3), you should have done it within six months. The

other ones are a reasonable amount of time. It's

unclear -- he didn't specify which one he is bringing it

under, so, you know, we'll make the appropriate

25 argument. I don't think it falls under (1), (2) or (3).

Page 54 Page 55 1 pursuant to ARS 38-431.03(A)(1). 2 2 (Whereupon all members of the public are Note: No deliberations or voting will take 3 place in executive session. Any legal action will take present and the Commission without the presence of place in open session. Minutes of and discussions made Commissioner Scaramazzo resumes in general session.) in an executive session are confidential pursuant to ARS 5 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: We're now in regular Section 38-431.03(B) and shall not be released to anyone session. We have just come out of executive session and unless specifically authorized by law. 8 With that, I'll entertain a motion to go into 8 we're in a position to deliberate and have a motion with 9 9 respect to the Executive Director's compensation executive session. 10 COMMISSIONER PARKER: So moved. 10 commencing July 1st. Is there any discussion or motion? 11 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Is there a second? 11 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Madame Chair, with 12 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Second. 12 regard to the last item, the Executive Director's salary 13 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by 13 for the coming year -- and I assume this comes on a 14 Commissioner Parker and seconded by Commissioner Kunasek 14 fiscal year basis? 15 that we go into executive session. 15 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: July 1. 16 16 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: July 1? All in favor say, "aye." 17 (Chorus of ayes.) 17 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes. 18 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair 18 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: -- that the Executive 19 votes aye. Motion carries. 19 Director's salary be increased by 10 percent. 20 20 COMMISSIONER PARKER: I'll second that. 21 (Whereupon the public retires from the meeting 21 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by 22 room.) Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Parker 23 that the Executive Director's compensation be increased 24 by 10 percent commencing July 1st, 2006. (Whereupon the Commission is in executive 2.4 25 session from 10:38 a.m. until 11:07 a.m.) Before we vote on it, I would like to say that Page 56 Page 57 as Chairman of the Commission, I've been very pleased And I note for the record that Commissioner with Mr. Lang's performance over the course of the last Scaramazzo adjourned during the executive session so we nine months. He, when he was interviewed, he indicated do not have him participating in this agenda item. he was in a position to hit the ground running. He has, The Chair votes aye. The motion carries. 5 in fact, hit the ground running. MR. LANG: Thank you, Commissioners. 6 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Move to Item X on the He appears to have put together a very competent and solid staff to meet the challenges that we agenda, call for public comment. This is the time for will have in this upcoming election. People seem to be consideration and discussion of comments and complaints getting along well and the press has not been too from the public. Action taken as a result of public negative yet. So, we're -- we're on a roll right now. comment will be limited to directing staff to study the 11 We, obviously, hope that we can continue on the roll. matter, or rescheduling the matter for further 12 And in evaluating him, we did ask him to 12 consideration and decision at a later date, or provide us with a number of comparative positions and responding to criticism. the compensation for those positions. And in light of 14 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Madame Chair, can I put 15 both his evaluation and those comparisons, I agree with 15 on my public hat now? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Absolutely. Commissioner Kunasek's motion. 16 17 And, so, if there's no other discussion, I will 17 Commissioner Kunasek? 18 call for the question; but certainly if there is, I'll 18 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: I would in light of the hear discussion. 19 Cheryl Chase case where the report was corrected but not 20 Hearing no discussion, I'll call for the 20 available on the Website, perhaps a letter to the 21 question, all in favor of a 10 percent increase to Mr. 21 Secretary of State's office asking for a date as to when Lang commencing as of July 1st, 2006, say, "aye." 22 they anticipate this will be available. Because here we 23 (Chorus of ayes.) have a case where a complaint was filed, legitimately 24 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? The Chair filed, based on information they were able to -- to 25 votes aye. obtain from the Website.

			10 (rayes 30 to 00,
	Page 58		Page 59
1	I don't know where the complainant lived, I	1	Any other members of the public wish to
2	think he was probably, as I recall, outside of the	2	speak?
3	metropolitan area. And for those people in outlying	3	MS. KLEIN: Just a quick thing.
4	parts of the state, many times the Website is their sole	4	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Could you
5	source of information. To make a citizen of Yuma, or	5	MS. KLEIN: My name is Barbara Klein and I'm
6	Kingman, or wherever drive to Phoenix to review records	6	with the League of Women Voters in Arizona. And I just
7	in the Secretary of State's office on a matter like this	7	wanted to address what you had just said because the
8	where time is really important, I think is unnecessary	8	League did send a letter to the Secretary of State's
9	when it could be available on the Website if they make	9	office about two months ago requesting this update as
	-	10	
10	the appropriate adjustments.		well. We were informally told it would be done
	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you.	11	momentarily, but I just wanted to tell you that.
12	COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Thank you.	12	COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other matters	13	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. Any other
14	members of the public wish to comment?	14	members of the public wish to speak?
15	And I will piggyback my own public comment and	15	If not, Item XI, adjournment. Is there a
16	say that I think that there's a number of open meeting	16	motion?
17	or open disclosure issues that are done in other states	17	COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So moved.
18	to make elections more seamless and transparent. And I	18	COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: I'll second.
19	have suggested to staff in the past that those be	19	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by
20	addressed, if not by the Secretary of State, by our own	20	Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Jolley
21	Commission's Website. So that people if the	21	that we adjourn.
22	Secretary of State isn't doing it, then perhaps we do it	22	All in favor say, "aye."
23	instead. And I've been assured that staff is going to	23	(Chorus of ayes.)
24	look into that and hopefully that will be a very top	24	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair
25	priority after the election is concluded this year.	25	votes aye. Motion carries. Thank you.
	Page 60		
1			
2	(Whereupon the proceeding concludes at 11:14		
3			
	a.m.)		
4	a.m.)		
	a.m.) ****		
4	,		
4 5	,		
4 5	***** CERTIFICATE		
4 5 6 7 8 9	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter,		
4 5 6 7 8	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 59, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 59, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate printed record of my stenographic notes taken at said		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 59, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate printed record of my stenographic notes taken at said time and place, all done to the best of my skill and		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 59, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate printed record of my stenographic notes taken at said time and place, all done to the best of my skill and ability.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 59, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate printed record of my stenographic notes taken at said time and place, all done to the best of my skill and ability. DATED, at Phoenix, this 27th day of July,		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 59, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate printed record of my stenographic notes taken at said time and place, all done to the best of my skill and ability.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 59, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate printed record of my stenographic notes taken at said time and place, all done to the best of my skill and ability. DATED, at Phoenix, this 27th day of July,		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 59, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate printed record of my stenographic notes taken at said time and place, all done to the best of my skill and ability. DATED, at Phoenix, this 27th day of July,		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 59, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate printed record of my stenographic notes taken at said time and place, all done to the best of my skill and ability. DATED, at Phoenix, this 27th day of July, 2006.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 59, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate printed record of my stenographic notes taken at said time and place, all done to the best of my skill and ability. DATED, at Phoenix, this 27th day of July, 2006. Angela Furniss Miller, RPR		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 59, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate printed record of my stenographic notes taken at said time and place, all done to the best of my skill and ability. DATED, at Phoenix, this 27th day of July, 2006.		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 59, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate printed record of my stenographic notes taken at said time and place, all done to the best of my skill and ability. DATED, at Phoenix, this 27th day of July, 2006. Angela Furniss Miller, RPR		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 59, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate printed record of my stenographic notes taken at said time and place, all done to the best of my skill and ability. DATED, at Phoenix, this 27th day of July, 2006. Angela Furniss Miller, RPR		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 59, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate printed record of my stenographic notes taken at said time and place, all done to the best of my skill and ability. DATED, at Phoenix, this 27th day of July, 2006. Angela Furniss Miller, RPR		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	**** CERTIFICATE I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 59, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate printed record of my stenographic notes taken at said time and place, all done to the best of my skill and ability. DATED, at Phoenix, this 27th day of July, 2006. Angela Furniss Miller, RPR		